

## Argument Against Measure AA

Vote NO on Measure AA.

Environmental protection for the Bay is important but this tax is grossly unfair.

The Bay is a resource that should be protected by the state, not just by property owners in the region.

If Bay protection is so important, why doesn't the State of California spend some of its multi-billion dollar surplus?

The proposed \$12 annual parcel tax is unfair and inequitable. It is a serious incremental burden for those seniors and others who are already strapped to meet the dramatically growing cost of living in this region - the highest in the nation. Google, Apple or other big corporations will pay exactly the same as the elderly widow struggling to stay in her small inland cottage, but they will reap huge hikes in property value.

Your tax money would go to the ambiguous Oakland-based San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority, established in 2008. This agency is governed by officials appointed by local governments, and often lack press oversight and public accountability.

This parcel tax is imposed on homeowners in Solano County who live miles away from the Bay. How will this benefit them?

Look at your last property tax bill and see how many other bonds and assessments you are already paying. Can you really afford another one with questionable benefits to you?

Vote NO on Measure AA. Vote with your wallet in mind rather than your heart.