















MEMBERS

Elizabeth Patterson Chair Mayor, City of Benicia

John Vasquez Vice Chair Supervisor, Solano County, District 4

Thom Bogue
Mayor, City of Dixon

Harry Price Mayor, City of Fairfield

Ronald Kott Mayor, City of Rio Vista

Pete Sanchez Mayor, City of Suisun City

Len Augustine
Mayor, City of Vacaville

Bob Sampayan Mayor, City of Vallejo

Erin Hannigan Supervisor, Solano County, District 1

Monica Brown Supervisor, Solano County, District 2

Jim Spering Supervisor, Solano County, District 3

Skip Thomson Supervisor, Solano County, District 5

SUPPORT STAFF:

Birgitta Corsello Solano County Administrator's Office

Michelle Heppner Solano County Administrator's Office

Daryl Halls Solano Transportation Authority

Jim Lindley City of Dixon

SOLANO City-County Coordinating Council

AGENDA January 11, 2018

Location - Solano County Water Agency, Berryessa Room, 810 Vaca Valley Parkway, Suite 203, Vacaville, CA.

6:45 P.M.

(or immediately following the Solano County Water Agency Meeting)

PURPOSE STATEMENT - City County Coordinating Council (CCCC)

"To discuss, coordinate, and resolve City/County issues including but not necessarily limited to land use, planning, duplication of services/improving efficiencies, as well as other agreed to topics of regional importance, to respond effectively to the actions of other levels of government, including the State and Federal government, to sponsor or support legislation at the State and Federal level that is of regional importance, and to sponsor or support regional activities that further the purpose of the Solano City-County Coordinating Council."

Time set forth on agenda is an estimate. Items may be heard before or after the times designated.

<u>AGENCY/STAFF</u>

- I. CALL TO ORDER (7:00 p.m.)
 Roll Call
- II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (7:00 p.m.)

III. CONFIRMATION OF THE CHAIR & VICE CHAIR FOR 2018/19 (7:03 P.M.)

Per the attached CCCC Bylaws, the Chair and Vice-Chair are appointed by the respective organization whose turn it is to serve as Chair or Vice Chair of the CCCC. It is the County's turn to serve as Chair and the Board appointed Supervisor John Vasquez and the Mayor's Conference appointed Mayor Patterson as the Vice Chair for a two-year term.

IV. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT (7:05 p.m.)

Pursuant to the Brown Act, each public agency must provide the public with an opportunity to speak on any matter within the subject matter of the jurisdiction of the agency and which is not on the agency's agenda for that meeting. Comments are limited to no more than 5 minutes per speaker. By law, no action may be taken on any item raised during public comment period although informational answers to questions may be given and matter may be referred to staff for placement on future agenda.

This agenda shall be made available upon request in alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42U.S.C.Sec12132) and the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal.Govt.Code Sec.54954.2) Persons requesting a disability-related modification or accommodation should contact Jodene Nolan, 675 Texas Street, Suite 6500, Fairfield CA 94533 (707.784.6108) during regular business hours, at least 24 hours prior to the time of the meeting.

V. CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Approval of Minutes for November 9, 2017 (Action Item) Chair

VI. DISCUSSION CALENDAR

1. Discussion on the CCCC Purpose and Scope, Work Plan, and Meeting Schedule (30 minutes)

<u>Presenters:</u> Michelle Heppner, Legislative, Intergovernmental, and Public Affairs Officer, Solano County

2. Receive an legislative update on State Budget (Verbal Report) (30 minutes)

Presenters: Michelle Heppner, Legislative, Intergovernmental, and Public Affairs Officer, Solano County, Karen Lange, Partner, Shaw/Yoder/Antwih Inc., and Nancy Bennett, League of California Cities

3. CCCC 2018 Legislative Platform (10 minutes)

<u>Presenters:</u> Michelle Heppner, Legislative, Intergovernmental, and Public Affairs Officer, Solano County

VII. ANNOUNCEMENTS

VIII. CCCC CLOSING COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT: The next City-County Coordinating Council is tentatively scheduled for March 8, 2018 at 7:00 p.m. at the Solano County Water Agency – Berryessa Room, 810 Vaca Valley Parkway, Suite 203, Vacaville, CA

Future Items for Upcoming Meeting:

- Sea Level Rise BCDC, Delta Protection Commission, Central Valley Flood
- Solano City Planners Flood Safe Program
- Homelessness
- Housing
- Travis Sustainability Study
- AB 403 Foster Care System Changes

CITY-COUNTY COORDINATING COUNCIL November 9, 2017 Summary Meeting Minutes

The November 9, 2017 meeting of the Solano City-County Coordinating Council was held in the Berryessa Room at the Solano County Water Agency located at 810 Vaca Valley Parkway, Ste. 303, Vacaville, CA 95688.

| Roll and Call to Order

Members Present

Elizabeth Patterson, Chair Mayor, City of Benicia

John Vasquez, Vice Chair Solano County Board of Supervisors (District 4)

Thom Bogue Mayor, City of Dixon
Harry Price Mayor, City of Fairfield
Ronald Kott Mayor, City of Rio Vista
Pete Sanchez Mayor, City of Suisun City
Len Augustine Mayor, City of Vacaville
Bob Sampayan Mayor, City of Vallejo

Erin Hannigan Solano County Board of Supervisors (District 1)
Monica Brown Solano County Board of Supervisors (District 2)
Jim Spering Solano County Board of Supervisors (District 3)

Members Absent

Skip Thomson Solano County Board of Supervisors (District 5)

Staff to the City-County Coordinating Council Present:

Birgitta Corsello County Administrator, Solano County

Nancy Huston Assistant County Administrator, Solano County

Michelle Heppner Legislative Officer, CAO, Solano County Tammi Ackerman Office Assistant III, CAO, Solano County

Guest Speakers and Other Staff Present

Karen Lange Partner, Shaw/Yoder/Antwih Inc.

Cynthia Kroll Chief Economist, Assistant Planning Director, ABAG, MTC

Daryl Halls Executive Director, Solano Transportation Authority

Dr. Bela Matyas Public Health Officer, H&SS, Solano County

Ramon Castellblanch Associate Professor, Health Education, San Francisco

State University

Rich Seithel Executive Officer, Solano LAFCO

Michelle McIntyre Analyst, Solano LAFCO

Ron Gerber Economic Development Manager, City of Vallejo

I. Meeting Called to Order

The meeting of the City-County Coordinating Council was called to order at 7:00 pm.

II. Approval of Agenda

A motion to approve the Agenda was made by Mayor Augustine and seconded by Supervisor Brown. Agenda approved by 11-0 vote.

III. Opportunity For Public Comment

No public comments were received.

IV. Consent Calendar

Michelle Heppner, Legislative Officer, CAO, Solano County noted that the minutes for the August 10, 2017 meeting refer to a PowerPoint presentation which was not attached. She noted the slides will be added.

A motion to approve the August Minutes with the changes was made by Supervisor Hannigan and seconded by Supervisor Brown. Minutes approved by 11-0 vote.

V. Discussion Calendar

Michelle Heppner, Legislative Officer, CAO, Solano County noted that the 2018 Legislative Platform for the City-County Coordinating Council has the previous version attached. Ms. Heppner handed out the correct version and noted she will send a new word version and add it to the January 11, 2018 meeting Agenda.

1. Receive an end-of-session legislative update for 2017 and kick-off for the 2018 Legislative Platform (Verbal Report).

Michelle Heppner, Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs Officer, Solano County introduced Karen Lange, Partner, Shaw/Yoder/Antwih, Inc. and noted that the City representative from the League of California Cities, Nancy Bennett was unable to attend.

Supervisor Vasquez initiated a question as to why the CCCC need to receive legislative updates as he noted it is redundant since the Board of Supevisors receives regular updates from the County's state advocate. A discussion ensued regarding the scope of the City-County Coordinating Council. It was agreed that the scope and purpose of the City-County Coordinating Council will be addressed at the next meeting.

Karen Lange, Partner, Shaw/Yoder/Antwih, Inc. gave a legislative update on matter of county and city interest beginning with the legislative session adjournment and noted the legislators are due to return on January 3rd. She reported the Governor signed several hundred bills and was pleased to report the veto of SB 649 which would have limited local government discretion in placing small cell phone towers for 5G deployment. She thanked Supervisors Vasquez and Hannigan who both testified at the Capitol on behalf of the County in opposition of the bill. She noted that similar legislation will probably be proposed again in 2018 or 2019 with a new governor and that similar draft legislation is pending in Washington DC. Ms. Lange stated that the League of California Cities is working on opposing the draft federal legislation. She noted there are several legislative informational hearings taking place during the interim session, most notably on SB 562, a 2 year bill regarding the legal framework for a Single Payer Healthcare system in California. On a positive note, Ms. Lange stated that several bills effecting County services were approved, including AB 377 (Frazier), which allows Solano County to develop and implement individualized county child care subsidy plans and SB 365 (Dodd) allowing the creation of a Countywide Park and Open Space District to be presented to the voters of Solano County on a future countywide ballot. SB 5 (DeLeon), the \$4.1 billion Water and Parks bond was approved and will be on the June 2018 ballot as well as SB 3 (Beall), a \$4 billion housing bond including \$1 billion for Veterans Homes. She noted AB 398, the 10 year Cap-and-Trade program was extended with a 2/3 vote as opposed to the previous simple majority vote and will be revisited in 2022. She reported SB 2 (Atkins), the housing package, was approved with funds from the first year addressing the homelessness issue and thereafter returned to the counties in which the funds were collected. These funds will be provided by County Assessor Recorders charging an additional \$75 fee for up to three recorded documents and maximum of \$225 per visit to the County Assessor / Recorders office. She noted SB 35 (Wiener), which will allow developers to pursue streamlined approval for multifamily affordable housing development and a go around for CEQA, was approved over the objection of the League of California Cities. Ms. Lange stated she believed there will be some cleanup legislation in 2018 on this bill. SB 1 (Beall), the transportation funding which was approved earlier in the year is likely facing a referendum on the November ballot to repeal SB 1. She further noted that if the measure qualifies for the ballot, SB 1 would be suspended until the election.

Ms. Lange briefly discussed the devastation from the fires in Santa Rosa which will cause a housing pinch as they lost 5% of their housing. Ms. Lange presented a brief update on the WaterFix project and noted that Westlands Water District in Fresno voted "No" to funding a portion of the WaterFix project (approx. \$3 Billion) while Metropolitan Water Board out of Los Angeles voted "Yes" and Santa Clara approved participation but has put a cap on funding and wanted to look at the single tunnel option; however this option, which some environmental groups support, has not been fully studied and would only reduce the cost by a third. Ms. Lange noted that SB 54 (DeLeon), making California a sanctuary state was approved but includes some criteria on crimes that will allow lawmakers to contact ICE. She concluded by noting the former Congressman, Doug Ose, will be joining the gubernatorial race in 2018.

Mayor Sampayan asked how much money the new recording fee was expected to raise towards homelessness. Ms. Lange responded \$200 million statewide.

Supervisor Spering inquired how the "Single Payer Healthcare" would affect cities and counties. Ms. Lange referred the question to Dr. Bela Matyas, Public Health Officer, H&SS, Solano County. Dr. Matyas responded that there would be a large change in the amount employers are paying towards healthcare, with reductions seen from marketing (10-15%), administration (10%), and managed care benefits pay resulting in reduced costs.

Mayor Augustine inquired how it would affect the Federally Retired Employees Insurance System, TriCare and care received. Dr. Matyas responded that there would be no change in care, only with the insurance company. He stated we will not recognize a change care as the facilities and doctors will be the same.

2. Presentation by ABAG seeking Endorsement of the final Vision, Goals and Objectives of ABAG's Regional Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (Action Item) – PowerPoint Provided

Cynthia Kroll, Chief Economist, Assistant Planning Director, Association of Bay Area Governments & Metropolitan Transportation Commission gave a presentation on ABAG's Regional Comprehensive Development Strategy.

Ms. Knoll began noting this is an informational item only, with the presentation to be brought to the Board of Supervisors for endorsement or a resolution at a later date. She noted there is a lot of momentum for this with the different economic

development agencies to move collaboratively to enhance the resources we have and as this is an interconnected region, sometimes things can be better addressed at more than just the local level. She stated the goal is to have the Bay Area be designated an Economic Development District (EDD) by the US Economic Development Administration (US EDA). She noted this would give the cities/counties/organizations in our region access to grants that they would not have access to unless they had their own Economic Development Strategy (EDS) and to make the region more competitive for grants from other types of agencies and give the region as a whole a chance to discuss how to respond to different opportunities. As an example, as they had started discussions already, when Amazon was interested in a location for a second headquarters, this enabled various groups to get together and present their proposal in a more cohesive way. She reported they have collaborated to create a Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) to discuss the region's economic characteristics, strengths and opportunities, weaknesses and threats/constraints, identify consensus for strategic actions and implement a plan with a schedule, actions, and measures of success guided by a Strategy Committee representative of the region's businesses, economic development, workforce and equity communities. She noted that a Strategy Committee was convened in July of 2016 and has met five times so far to discuss each of the different pieces along with meeting with the East Bay ABA and briefing local officials. She presented an economic profile the East Bay EDA asked them to prepare. She noted they looked at the region and long term goals and objectives and created a regional vision. The vision includes creating a dynamic and resilient economy providing opportunities and shared prosperity without threatening the quality of life and environment enjoyed by the residents. She stated that when creating the goals, four areas surfaced, namely: 1) Business Climate - improve business climate to retain and expand economic base; 2) Workforce - improve workforce training and provide pathways to better jobs; 3) Housing and Workplaces provide places for the workforce to live, especially the low, middle and highway jobs while providing expansion of workplaces; and 4) Infrastructure – address the growing strains on public services, transportation, water, energy and communications. She noted the vision and goals provided in the report are set, but they are still working on the action plan. It was stated this will not be finalized until the feedback from different cities and counties has been analyzed. She noted Supervisor Hannigan and Sandy Person, head of the Solano Economic Development Corporation, are on the Strategy Committee. She went on to discuss the advantages and benefits of CEDS for our county, including leveraging resources across the region, consistent and cooperative strategies, shared information, aligning workforce, training resources with evolving business needs, connecting local and regional infrastructure needs. She emphasized this is not another government layer but an enabling structure to look for local governments to locate resources beyond their current regional area. She noted their schedule is always evolving; they are currently working on the organizational structure and action plan for finalization and talking with Supervisors throughout the region. She stated the City and County of San Francisco and Contra Costa County both endorsed the strategy in September and she hopes Solano County will consider endorsing it as well.

Supervisor Spering asked how she sees this effort benefiting Solano County given we are so different from most of the other Bay Area Counties. Ms. Kroll responded

that Solano County, like the other counties, is a very unique county. She sees a county where housing is affordable, with a very different employment base than others, a higher share of government jobs and logistics jobs (transportation and warehousing) and a lower share of professional and business services. She noted this regional approach gives understanding as to why these types of opportunities are not coming to Solano and in what ways the strengths can be built on and new opportunities brought to the county. For example, San Francisco and Santa Clara County are bulging at the seams going over into Alameda and Contra Costa Counties. She sees this as an opportunity for some of this growth to come to Solano County and perhaps some training to help diversify the county. She noted the labor force in this area is different than most of the Bay Area with fewer college educated and a larger share with only high school diploma or job training. She commented that this is a strength, however a different kind of strength.

Supervisor Spering asked about the infrastructure, Solano County is providing affordable housing and the roads for transportation of goods and workforce to the Bay Area, however no funds are coming to Solano County to help support these expenses. He noted that jobs and funds are going further into the Bay Area Region with no recognition of the role Solano County plays. He noted that this plan does not address the infrastructure improvements needed in our county. Ms. Kroll responded that she appreciates the feedback and will try to provide more precise language. She noted that US EVA also focuses on the counties that are more distressed like Solano County, helping identify less prosperous areas that could be improved.

Supervisor Spering inquired if they will do an inventory of all land available for certain types of development? If a company is looking for a site, can you show Solano County, City of Fairfield, City of Vacaville, etc. have this capacity and to steer them in that direction? Ms. Kroll responded that is a great action to include in the plan; that has been talked about by ABAG and MTC as part of the Plan Bay Area and would be very appropriate for this. Supervisor Spering commented that he would like to see more of this type of cooperation as it will help the entire Bay Area, adding he is very supportive of this type of regional strategy.

Mayor Kott asked if they have worked with Solano EDC regarding this plan, including availability of land opportunities city by city and area by area? Ms. Kott responded they have worked with Solano EDC; Sandy Person is on their committee and has given them feedback. She noted as they put the strategies together they did an inventory of all the Economic Plans throughout the Bay Area for the last 10 years and incorporated these into their plan, including Solano County.

Mayor Sanchez asked about increasing the training and education of the workforce in the Bay Area and California. He inquired as to a time frame and where the funding would come from. Ms. Kott responded that this is a good question and different strategies have been discussed regarding helping at the local level. One strategy from South San Francisco includes working with the local high schools to help with training. It was noted that Solano County is working with Genentech and the local high schools and community college to train a workforce. She commented that one of their action items is to compile an inventory of the different strategies in the Region so all counties can benefit.

Mayor Augustine inquired if higher paying jobs were going to be coming to Solano County. He noted that Vacaville is a bedroom community for San Francisco where the higher paying jobs are located and this is driving the prices of houses up over 20% in just the last year and they are continuing to rise. He also commented that Genentech just laid off 130 people and that ICON, an aircraft manufacturing company is interested in Solano County which could bring more high paying jobs. Ms. Kott responded that EDA really focuses on this type of issue when applications are put in for resources.

Mayor Bogue inquired if the only economic benefit is having access to the funding grants from EDA or will we have to go outside to raise fees in other areas like SB 2? Ms. Kott replied that this still needs to be discussed. She noted that the Bay Area has not been very competitive for these grants as they were not recognized as an Economic Development Organization. Mayor Bogue commented that if the jobs stay in San Francisco and other Bay Area regions the money tends to stay in those communities, not come back to Solano County.

Supervisor Vasquez asked how this would benefit Solano County. Is there something more than what we are already doing? He stated the only benefit he sees is being more competitive for grants which we already have with Moving Solano Forward. Birgitta Corsello, CAO, Solano County, responded that Solano does have an Economic Development Strategy that everyone worked on and was paid for with Office of Economic Adjustment funding. She stated the strategy has been adopted and is being implemented and this approves us for competing in the CEDS. She noted this regional program is an overlay on top of that. Ms. Kott responded that for some types of programs the requirement for a Regional Plan could make us more competitive.

Supervisor Hannigan commented she is on the panel but did not attend any meetings due to scheduling conflicts, however Sandy Person did attend. She further commented that Solano County's H&SS programs are also heavily impacted by the Bay Area with foster children from San Francisco living in Solano County. She noted that Solano County is ahead of the game, having our own CEDS, identifying properties we have available, knowing what our industry clusters are and the Moving Solano Forward effort creates some level of equity.

Mayor Sampayan inquired if the strategy was written prior to the wildfires. He commented that the strategy should include recovery from the fires, especially item #4, infrastructure. Ms. Kott responded that they are still working on the strategy and will be sure to include a plan for what happened last fall.

Daryl Halls, Executive Director, Solano Transportation Authority commented that he worked with Sean Quinn, Project Manager, Solano Economic Development Corporation, and reviewed the economic strategy from ABAG. He forwarded the strategy to the City Managers last week along with two pages of comments, which he handed out to the Council tonight. He noted the handout should answer most of the Council's questions from this evening; commenting that this could benefit Solano

County. He noted one issue needs to be addressed is freight, specifically a regional freight plan.

3. Opioid Awareness in Perspective – PowerPoint Provided

Dr. Bela Matyas, Solano County Public Health Officer and Ramon Castellblanch, Associate Professor, Health Education, San Francisco State University (retired) gave a presentation on the opioid situation in Solano County.

Dr. Bela Matyas began, noting some background information on opioids in Solano County, commenting that the data is poor on opioids in our County regarding the use of opiates, ER visits from opiates, hospitalizations and deaths causing the numbers to be underestimated. He commented however, they are underestimated across the board, so trends are pretty valid. He explained there are two distinct opiate epidemics, 1) Prescription opiate epidemic and 2) Non-prescription opiate epidemic (illegal use) with some overlap between the two. He noted in regards to the prescription opiate epidemic in the county they have adopted some new prescription guidelines for opiates. He explained a provider workgroup got together and identified the best guidance; targeting primary care providers. The guidelines include 1) Minimizing new prescriptions; 2) Weaning clients off of high dose opiates; and 3) Using alternatives for pain management. He stated both Kaiser and Partnership Healthcare have implemented these guidelines. In addition there are periodic drug takebacks by the Sheriff's Office. He also noted that Naloxone has been available and they are working with Medmark in Fairfield to offer future medication-assisted treatment. He commented that treatment has better outcomes if Naloxone is combined with behavioral therapy. He noted we do not have an opiate coalition in Solano County, but they have been collaborating with neighboring coalitions. He said we are doing something unique in Solano County; we have a preventive medicine resident from the State Health Department, who, together with Touro University are studying a small cohort of County clients who have been weaned off of opiates to see what decisions they make. He noted there have been some significant impacts to date since 2014/2015, notably; opiate prescriptions and doses are down, prescription opiate overdose ER visits are down, opiate overdose deaths are down and the age distribution for prescriptions is improving. He pointed out there are still some ongoing areas of concern, including; insufficient resources and capacity for abuse treatment; prescription guidelines need to be expanded to specialists and dentists, cheap heroin available on the street; and the availability of Fentanyl and other high potency opiates. He referred to an Opioid prescription and Morphine graph noting the data for Solano County compared to the State, opioid prescription rates have declined 14% in the last two years since the guidelines were implemented.

A discussion ensued regarding education of doctors, responsibility, and the addictiveness of opioids. Dr. Matyas continued stating it was best to have physicians be part of the solution. Referring to Opioid Overdose Rate graph, he commented that due to the increase of cheap heroin, much of the ground gained with prescription reduction has been wiped out in regards to Heroin overdose ED visits. He also noted that hospitalizations have increased, showing that opiate overdose is being taken seriously by healthcare providers. He pointed out that on a high note, the death rate from opiates has decreased by 50%, but cautions we need to continue the efforts as there are still many deaths. He next referred to a graph showing Opioid prescription

Rate by Age Group, pointing out that the highest rate of prescriptions was for older demographic. He mentioned that opioid prescriptions were appropriate for patients with terminal cancer and other age related diseases and this can skew the numbers.

Ramon Castellblanch, Associate Professor, Health Education, San Francisco State University (retired) continued the presentation noting he had served on the State Pharmacy Board for seven years from 2010 and although he is not a doctor, serving on this board gave him a good opioid education. He stated he has been working with Partnership Healthplan in Solano County and hopes to have an Opiate Safety Coalition start in Solano County. He referred to his slides noting that although prescribing rates have been greatly reduced, the death rate has rapidly increased statewide, another 50% in the last year estimating around 60,000 people dying last year across the United States from drug overdoses. He noted that Fentanyl prescriptions have taken off in the last four years, leading to Fentanyl laced heroin being available on the streets, driving the death rate up. He mentioned "Carfentanil" which is toxic (100 times as potent as the same amount of Fentanyl) and can be absorbed through the skin increasing the danger to police officers and first responders. He referred to a slide showing Solano County compared to the State using the 2015 data (most recent available) indicating above average ED visits for opioid overdoses, above average hospitalizations and above average opiate prescriptions. He noted that Naloxone can reverse the effects of an overdose, and that until recently police and first responders were not carrying Naloxone. announced that the drug courts in Vallejo have just arranged to get medication assisted treatment for offenders. He also mentioned that the medical society is now showing an interest in getting involved with the treatment as well as a new medication takeback box that is mounted outside the Benicia Police Department.

Supervisor Hannigan commented that the Vallejo Police Department has a drug take back box in their lobby as well.

Mr. Castellblanch mentioned that most counties in California have an Opioid Safety Coalition showing better than average drops in opiate prescription rates, increases in access to Naloxone and increases in drug treatment facilities where a coalition is active. He noted the coalitions often work on getting in-county drug treatment facilities, (which Solano County does not currently have), increasing drug takeback facilities, integrating care information, and educating pharmacists that they can dispense Naloxone. He stated he is trying to get an Opioid Safety Coalition started in Solano County, talking with the Solano Drug Courts in Vallejo and would like to have a half day conference early next year including the County, the Medical Society, and local cities to start the discussion.

Mayor Bogue asked if there is a reimbursement fund for returning drugs so they are not sold on the streets. Mr. Castellblanch responded that Alameda County does have some pharmaceutical support for a reimbursement program, but take back boxes are more common.

4. Proposed CCCC 2018 Meeting Schedule and Work Plan (Handouts in Packet of November 9, 2017)

Michelle Heppner, Legislative, Intergovernmental, and Public Affairs Officer, Solano County presented the Proposed 2018 Meeting Schedule (Attachment A) and the Proposed 2018 Work Plan (Attachment B) for approval. She noted the 2018 Proposed Meeting schedule includes five dates and follows some key dates for the State Legislature and the items on the 2018 Proposed Work Plan includes items that carried over from the 2017 Work Plan and can bring any changes back to the January meeting.

Mayor Sampayan requested an update to the members listed on Attachment A.

Supervisor Spering requested a time change for the City-County Coordinating Council Meeting, changing to the start time to 6:45 or immediately following the Solano County Water Board Meeting to avoid unnecessary wait time for the members.

Supervisor Vasquez requested that the Purpose, Scope, and meeting frequency of the City-County Coordinating Council be discussed at a future meeting.

Supervisor Spering requested an update from the Solano Transportation Agency regarding Highway 37 be included as a topic for a future meeting on the 2018 Workplan.

Mayor Patterson expressed her appreciation for the information imparted in the 4C's meetings and requested follow up information on some of the topics presented, acknowledging it would involve extra work. Supervisor Vasquez noted that County Staff is the only support for the 4C's with Ms. Corsello adding that aside from the STA, there is presently no city support for the 4C's. Mayor Patterson suggested that a discussion should ensue regarding support for the 4C's meetings, noting she would consult with the City Manager of Benicia regarding support from Benicia.

VI. Announcements

There were no announcements.

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 8:48 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for January 11, 2018, at 7:00 p.m. in the Berryessa Room at the Solano County Water Agency located at 810 Vaca Valley Parkway, Ste. 303, Vacaville, CA 95688.

ABAG CEDS - Notes from Sean Quinn

In response to your request, I have reviewed the draft Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) report for the San Francisco Bay Area. As noted, this report is part of a larger process for the Bay Area to be designated as an Economic Development District (EDD). This is viewed by MTC-ABAG as a first step towards maintaining and improving upon the Bay Area's economic vitality and quality of life in a more resilient and equitable fashion. I believe it is important to view this report and the desire to be designated by the U.S. Economic Development Administration (EDA) in this broader context.

Solano County has an EDA approved CEDS. Therefore, cities and the County can apply for funding for infrastructure and planning projects through EDA (eligible projects are listed in the Solano County CEDS). The Bay Area effort outlined in the report takes an additional step beyond having an approved CEDS and proposes the creation of an Economic Development District, as defined by the EDA. Such a District would provide for a flexible framework for crafting a regional economic development strategy. It is intended that this strategy, and having clear implementation plans, would show potential funders that there is a clear understanding of how different parts of the region interact and affect one another.

An Economic Development District, which Solano County will part pat of, may provide additional funding opportunities beyond the Solano County CEDS. The Solano EDC should invite the EDA representative for this area to an Economic Development Task Force meeting to discuss the funding process under the Solano CEDS and as part of an Economic Development District.

I think it is important to view this effort as complimentary and building upon the Solano County CEDS and that the designation of an Economic Development District as an overlay to our local efforts. I believe it is important for local leaders in Solano County to have a voice in the structure of an EDD that is formed and to be a key participant in the policies; strategies, programs and funding that may follow.

I believe that this effort and the report needs to be viewed as part of a broader strategy to address the issues of economic resiliency, housing, transportation and other quality of life issues on a regional basis.

The CEDS itself follows the required EDA approach of identifying the regions strengths and weaknesses. Within this context there are a number of key points. They in the context are tied to regional housing production.

- All communities, with the North Bay and East Bay lagging, have not shared the region's economic prosperity.
- To maintain competitiveness, higher skill levels are needed in many new and replacement jobs.

- Infrastructure improvements (transportation, broadband, ports) are needed to make the region resilient to economic fluctuations and natural disasters.
- Planning funding and regulatory reform at the regional, state and federal level are required to improve comprehensive planning.

The report provides a comprehensive overview of the regions economy and our strengths and weaknesses. There is an emphasis on the link between housing and transportation and its impact on the regions economy, and its economic future. The report also points out that the willingness of voters to take on the costs of transportation and housing is an opportunity to address some of the region's weaknesses. But also points out that further channels of funding and successful land use management on the part of local jurisdictions and the region will also be needed.

The staff that prepared this report has reached out to Solano County and was provided a copy of the Solano County CEDS. It is my understanding that they would like to present the Bay Area CEDS to a future 4Cs meeting and seek endorsement of the report.

I believe it is important that the Solano City Managers Group also be briefed on the proposed Bay Area CEDS and that they discuss the potential implications.

It is clear that there is a stronger effort to take a more regional approach on land use and to link the issues of housing, transportation and economic development. It is critical that Solano County ensure that any policies and/or programs developed balance the need for housing, with the needs for jobs in areas such as Solano County. Creating housing, without creating jobs in Solano County will contribute to traffic congestion and increase the already large commuter population.

It is also important that recommendations from Moving Solano Forward be reviewed and actions be taken to position Solano County and its cities to be in a competitive position to create, retain and expand businesses and their workforce.

It is critical that Solano County and its cities have a voice in the creation and structure of any Economic Development District, and in the policies, programs and funding that will follow.

ABAG CEDS - Notes from Sean Quinn

In response to your request, I have reviewed the draft Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) report for the San Francisco Bay Area. As noted, this report is part of a larger process for the Bay Area to be designated as an Economic Development District (EDD). This is viewed by MTC-ABAG as a first step towards maintaining and improving upon the Bay Area's economic vitality and quality of life in a more resilient and equitable fashion. I believe it is important to view this report and the desire to be designated by the U.S. Economic Development Administration (EDA) in this broader context.

Solano County has an EDA approved CEDS. Therefore, cities and the County can apply for funding for infrastructure and planning projects through EDA (eligible projects are listed in the Solano County CEDS). The Bay Area effort outlined in the report takes an additional step beyond having an approved CEDS and proposes the creation of an Economic Development District, as defined by the EDA. Such a District would provide for a flexible framework for crafting a regional economic development strategy. It is intended that this strategy, and having clear implementation plans, would show potential funders that there is a clear understanding of how different parts of the region interact and affect one another.

An Economic Development District, which Solano County will part pat of, may provide additional funding opportunities beyond the Solano County CEDS. The Solano EDC should invite the EDA representative for this area to an Economic Development Task Force meeting to discuss the funding process under the Solano CEDS and as part of an Economic Development District.

I think it is important to view this effort as complimentary and building upon the Solano County CEDS and that the designation of an Economic Development District as an overlay to our local efforts. I believe it is important for local leaders in Solano County to have a voice in the structure of an EDD that is formed and to be a key participant in the policies; strategies, programs and funding that may follow.

I believe that this effort and the report needs to be viewed as part of a broader strategy to address the issues of economic resiliency, housing, transportation and other quality of life issues on a regional basis.

The CEDS itself follows the required EDA approach of identifying the regions strengths and weaknesses. Within this context there are a number of key points. They in the context are tied to regional housing production.

- All communities, with the North Bay and East Bay lagging, have not shared the region's economic prosperity.
- To maintain competitiveness, higher skill levels are needed in many new and replacement jobs.

- Infrastructure improvements (transportation, broadband, ports) are needed to make the region resilient to economic fluctuations and natural disasters.
- Planning funding and regulatory reform at the regional, state and federal level are required to improve comprehensive planning.

The report provides a comprehensive overview of the regions economy and our strengths and weaknesses. There is an emphasis on the link between housing and transportation and its impact on the regions economy, and its economic future. The report also points out that the willingness of voters to take on the costs of transportation and housing is an opportunity to address some of the region's weaknesses. But also points out that further channels of funding and successful land use management on the part of local jurisdictions and the region will also be needed.

The staff that prepared this report has reached out to Solano County and was provided a copy of the Solano County CEDS. It is my understanding that they would like to present the Bay Area CEDS to a future 4Cs meeting and seek endorsement of the report.

I believe it is important that the Solano City Managers Group also be briefed on the proposed Bay Area CEDS and that they discuss the potential implications.

It is clear that there is a stronger effort to take a more regional approach on land use and to link the issues of housing, transportation and economic development. It is critical that Solano County ensure that any policies and/or programs developed balance the need for housing, with the needs for jobs in areas such as Solano County. Creating housing, without creating jobs in Solano County will contribute to traffic congestion and increase the already large commuter population.

It is also important that recommendations from Moving Solano Forward be reviewed and actions be taken to position Solano County and its cities to be in a competitive position to create, retain and expand businesses and their workforce.

It is critical that Solano County and its cities have a voice in the creation and structure of any Economic Development District, and in the policies, programs and funding that will follow.

SOLANO City-County Coordinating Council Staff Report

Meeting of: January 11, 2018

Agency/Staff: Michelle Heppner,
Legislative, Intergovernmental,

& Public Affairs Officer, Solano

County

Agenda Item No.: VI.1

<u>Title/Subject:</u> Discussion on revisiting the Scope and Purpose of the Solano City-County Coordinating Council; Approve the Proposed 2018 CCCC Meeting Schedule and Work Plan; and Provide Direction on the 2018 CCCC Legislative Platform

Background:

During the November 9, 2017 City-County Coordinating Council (CCCC) meeting, while discussing the 2018 State and Federal Legislative Platform, a conversation occurred around the original intent of the CCCC. It was requested that staff add an item to the January 2018 CCCC meeting to discuss the scope and purpose of the CCCC. In response, this report provides background information on the history and evolution of the CCCC as well as related action items that were inadvertently omitted for approval at the November CCCC meeting.

History of City-County Coordinating Council:

On June 18, 1991, the Solano County Board of Supervisors and the Mayors of Solano County's seven cities established the Solano City-County Coordinating Council (CCCC). The primary reason provided for its creation was to explore ways to improve city/county communications, coordination and problem resolution. In April 2005, there was a review of the CCCC function and purpose and a new purpose state was presented establishing the CCCC, the group was to meet on a regular basis before or after the monthly meeting of the Solano County Water Agency.

Solano City-County Coordinating Council – Agreement adopted in 1991 (Attachment 1)

Per the agreement adopted by the Board of Supervisors in June 1991, the purpose of the Solano City-County Coordinating Council was to improve city/county communications, coordination, and problem resolution. As outlined in the agreement establishing the CCCC, the group was to meet on a regular basis before or after the monthly meeting of the Solano County Water Agency.

The purpose of the Solano CCCC was identified as follows: "to discuss, coordinate, and resolve City/County issues including but not necessarily limited to land use planning, duplication of services/improving efficiencies and responding effectively to the actions of other levels of government, including the State and Federal government."

Reorganization of the Solano CCCC, Reaffirmation of the Purpose Statement, and Proposed Governance Structure (Attachment 2)

In April 2005, the Board of Supervisors approved recommended changes to the CCCC which included a reorganization of the Solano CCCC, reaffirmation of the purpose statement, and established criteria by which to the CCCC was governed.

In 2006 and 2007 the members of the Solano City-County Coordinating Council expressed a desire to take a more active role in sponsoring activities that are of regional benefit and regional significance. To this avail

in 2006 the CCCC adopted Federal and State legislative priorities which were felt to be of importance and benefit the CCCC members. In addition, the CCCC voted to support and sponsor activities including summits and conferences that further the purpose of discussion and coordination. This included agreeing to be a sponsor of a CCCC Summit in 2005 and the three Economic Summits in 2006 & 2007.

CCCC Bylaws (Adopted 2008)

On January 10, 2008 the CCCC voted unanimously to revise the CCCC purpose statement as follows: "The purpose of the Solano City-County Coordinating Council is to discuss, coordinate, sponsor, and resolve City/County issues including but not necessarily limited to land use planning, duplication of services/improving efficiencies, as well as other agreed to topics of regional importance, and responding effectively to the actions of other levels of government, including the State and Federal government."

The CCCC Bylaws contained in Attachment 3 which was approved on January 10, 2008, outlines the current structure and operation of the CCCC.

Discussion:

There are a number of potential action items the CCCC members could consider and are outlined below:

1. Purpose Statement

ACTION: The CCCC can reaffirm the current Purpose Statement as adopted by the CCCC in 2008 or as part of the larger conversation on the purpose and scope of the CCCC, propose revisions.

2. Bylaws

ACTION: Reaffirm the CCCC Bylaws as approved or consider revisions. Options for considering revisions to the existing CCCC Bylaws include:

3. Meeting Schedule

Per the current CCCC Bylaws, the CCCC is required to meet quarterly in the months of February, May, August, and November, however, in light of legislative activity, the CCCC have typically approved their meeting schedule to coincide with the state legislative calendar of events including the release of the state budget in January, the May revision to the state budget, and the adjournment of the Legislature. As a result, for the past several years, the CCCC have met approximately five times per year in January, March, May, August, and November. Attachment 4 was proposed as the 2018 meeting schedule at the November 9, 2017 CCCC meeting however it was inadvertently omitted from being approved by the CCCC. This meeting schedule is based on the schedule of legislative activity with five proposed meetings.

ACTION: The CCCC can approve the Proposed 2018 Meeting Schedule as presented or as part of the larger conversation on the purpose and scope of the CCCC, propose alternative options.

4. Work Plan

In addition to adopting a meeting schedule for the year, the CCCC also adopts a work plan annually. The work plan usually coincides with the proposed dates on the meeting schedule as well as items of concern that may be addressed in the future. Attachment 5 was proposed as the 2018 Work Plan at the November 9, 2017 CCCC meeting however it was inadvertently omitted from being approved by the CCCC.

ACTION: The CCCC can approve the Proposed 2018 CCCC Work Plan as presented or as part of the larger conversation on the purpose and scope of the CCCC, propose alternative topics.

5. Support to the CCCC

Per the current CCCC Bylaws, Solano County is tasked with providing staff support for the CCCC and coordinates the agenda through the Executive Committee, and the Joint Steering Committee, as well as coordinates with other agencies on behalf of the CCCC. While the current bylaws state it is through the Solano County Department of Resource Management, the County Administrator who was the prior Director for the Department of Resource Management coordinates all activities for the CCCC. Due to large assignment load at the County, the increase in the number of meetings, and additional array of topics covered by the CCCC, a second staff member was needed to assist with administrative support for the CCCC, including scheduling CCCC Joint Steering Committee meetings, taking notes and preparing the minutes for each CCCC meeting. Solano County pays overtime for the additional staff member to attend CCCC meetings after hours.

ACTION: The CCCC can affirm the current practice for Solano County providing staff support or as part of the larger conversation on the purpose and scope of the CCCC, propose alternative options for staffing the CCCC.

6. CCCC Minutes

As noted above, County staff provides full support to the CCCC. Currently staff provides extended minutes for each CCCC meeting. Originally the minutes were drafted to keep track of CCCC actions when hard copies were used to file documents. Currently, all CCCC agendas are electronic and available via the Internet and are readily available to staff, the CCCC, and the public. The long format minutes take several hours to prepare following each CCCC meeting.

ACTION: The CCCC can retain the current practice of long format minutes or consider revising it to accept action minutes which are included in the online records.

Recommendation:

Provide direction to staff.

Attachments:

- 1 1991 Agreement establishing the CCCC
- 2 2005 Board Approval of Recommended Changes to the CCCC
- 3 2008 Adopted CCCC Bylaws
- 4 Proposed 2018 CCCC Meeting Schedule
- 5 Proposed CCCC Work Plan

APPROVED

	JUN 1 8 1991			
COUNTY OF SOLANO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM		CAO OFFICE USE ONLY -Meeting Date: 6/18/91 ARD Agenda Item: 158		
AGENDA TITLE: Agreement Establishing the Solano City-County Coordinating Council		Time Allotment: min. Consent [] Informational []		
DEPARTMENT: County Administrator		Deadline for Board Action:		
Contact: Kent Taylor	Phone: x6100			
DEPARTMENT SUMMARY AND REQUESTED BOARD ACTION				
On May 22, 1991 Chairman Davis, Supervisor Caddle and I met with the mayors of the seven cities and the seven city managers to explore ways that we could improve city/county communications, coordination and problem resolution. The collective group concluded that regular meetings of the seven mayors and your Board would be a good first step in achieving the above goals. I have shared the draft agreement with the City Managers and incorporated their suggestions.				
CAO RECOMMENDATION				
Approve the agreement and authorize the chairman to sign.				
cc: each City Manager via fax				
Financial Impact: [] Yes [x]	No	Funding Source:		
BUDGET RECAP: Total Estimated Cost \$ Net County Cost \$ Amt. Budgeted FY/ \$ New Funding Required \$		New Revenue \$ Lost Revenue \$ New Personnel Change in Board Policy? [] Yes [] No		
PRIOR BOARD ACTIONS:				
ALTERNATIVES:				
By:				
County Counsel's Office Other				

CAO (013)

Agreement

The County of Solano and the seven cities in Solano County hereby agree that the County Board of Supervisors and the Mayors of the seven cities will meet on a regular basis as the Solano City-County Coordinating Council. The meetings will be held at the Solano Irrigation District Office, 508 Elmira Road, Vacaville, California and will occur either before or following the adjournment of the Solano County Water Agency's monthly meeting which is held on the second Thursday of each month at 7:00 p.m. The meetings will have a prepared agenda which will be set by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Chairman of the Mayor's Conference, be properly noticed and will be open to the public. The purpose of the Council is to discuss, coordinate, and resolve City/County issues including but not necessarily limited to land use planning, duplication of services/improving efficiency and responding effectively to the actions of other levels of government, including the State and Federal government. It is understood that this organization will not have regulatory powers and that major policy decisions will still rest with the respective City Councils and the Board of Supervisors. However, the purpose herein is to provide a regular forum to discuss matters of common interest.

County Board of Supervisors

City of Bewicia

City of Fairfield

City of Dixon

City of Dixon

City of Dixon

City of Vacaville

City of Vacaville

City of Vacaville



Attachment 2

APR 6 5 2005

BY Mysa Chila deputy
CLERKOF THE BOARD

AGENDA SUBMITTAL TO SOLANO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SUBJECT		BOARD MEETING DATE	AGENDA NUMBER
Receive and approve recommended changes from			
the Board's Subcommittee for the City-County		April 5, 2005	21B
Coordinating Council.		9:00 a.m.	210
Dept:	Resource Management	Supervisorial District Number	
Contact:	Birgitta Corsello, Director	All	
Extension:	6062		
	Noticed/Public Hearing Required?	Yes	No X

DEPARTMENTAL RECOMMENDATION:

Receive and approve recommended changes from the Board's Subcommittee for the City-County Coordinating Council.

SUMMARY:

The City-County Coordinating Council (CCCC) met several times in 2004 and expressed at their meeting in December a desire to review and revise the structure of the CCCC. It was suggested that a subcommittee be created the work on the effort. The subcommittee consisting of two mayors appointed by the Mayor's Conference and two Board members from the Board of Supervisors was created and staffed by one city manager, the County Administrator, The Executive Director of the Solano Transportation Authority and the Director of the County's department of Resource Management to assist in the process. After several subcommittee discussions, the subcommittee staff prepared a memorandum outlining suggested changes for the CCCC members to consider (Refer to Attachment A – March 22, 2005 Memorandum). The Mayor's Conference reviewed the recommendations at their March 23, 2005 meeting and voted to support the changes recommended. At this time the Board of Supervisors subcommittee and designated staff recommend that the Board review and support the changes proposed.

FINANCING:

Participating and supporting the CCCC has historically been born by the individual agencies with staff support being provided by the City Managers, County Administrator, and Solano County Water Agency. The recommendation from the CCCC subcommittee and staff assigned to assist in the review process is to formalize the staff support functions which is anticipated to have some impact on the County Department of Resource Management.



ALTERNATIVES:

The Board of Supervisors is asked to review and support the recommendations outlined by the CCCC subcommittee. (Refer to Attachment A – Memo on Recommendations for CCCC Restructure.)

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

The City-County Coordinating Council expressed a desire to review and consider changes to the CCCC operational structure. The Mayor's Conference and the Board of Supervisors each designated two members to work with a city manager, the County Administrator, the Executive Director for Solano Transportation Authority and the Department head for the Department of Resource Management to review and make recommendations with regards to the CCCC. Subcommittee members designated were Mayor Sperring, Mayor Courville, Supervisor Kondylas, and Supervisor Vasquez with support from Daryl Halls, Kevin ORourke, Mike Johnson and Birgitta Corsello.

CAO RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION

DEPARTMENT HEAD SIGNATURE:

Birgitta E. Corsello, Department Head

Attachment A: Memo on Recommendations for CCCC Restructure

R:\ADMIN\BIRGITTA\AGENDAS\City County Coordinating Council\April 5, 2005 Board Transmittal.docMarch 30, 2005

ATTACHMENT A

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

March 22, 2005

TO:

Solano City County Coordinating Council (CCCC) -

Joint Steering Committee:

Barbara Kondylis, Chair, Solano County Board of Supervisors John Vasquez, Vice-Chair, Solano County Board of Supervisors

Jim Spering, Chairman, Solano Mayors Conference, Mayor, City of Suisun City Mary Ann Courville – Representative, Solano Mayors Conference, Mayor, City of

Dixon

FROM:

Birgitta Corsello, Director, Department of Resource Management, County of Solano

(784-6765)

Daryl Halls, Executive Director, Solano Transportation Authority

(424-6075)

Kevin O'Rourke, City Manager, City of Fairfield (428-7399)

SUBJECT:

Reorganization of the Solano City County Coordinating Council

This memorandum and the set of recommendations presented are designed to assist the Solano County Board of Supervisors and Solano Mayor's Conference in their efforts to reinvigorate the Solano City County Coordinating Council (CCCC) process and to provide a forum for countywide discussion by key decision-makers on issues that affect Solano County collectively and individually.

Background:

In December 2004, the four member steering committee, consisting of two mayors and two Board members, representing the Solano City County Coordinating Council (CCCC) requested the Solano City Managers and County of Solano Resource Management staff develop options and recommendations for reorganizing and reconstituting the Solano CCCC. Subsequently, Kevin O'Rourke, City Manager for the City of Fairfield, Birgitta Corsello, Director for Solano County's Department of Resource Management, and Daryl Halls, Executive for the Solano Transportation Authority were specifically requested to collectively develop options and a recommendation for review by the Steering Committee for the Solano CCCC. The Steering Committee reviewed the concepts and recommendations and has developed a set of recommendations to both the Solano Mayors Conference and Solano County Board of Supervisors for consideration in preparation for the next meeting of the Solano CCCC's which is tentatively suggested for April 14, 2005.

History of City County Coordinating Council:

On June 18, 1991, the Solano County Board of Supervisors and the Mayors of Solano County's seven cities established the Solano City County Coordinating Council (CCCC). The primary reason

provided for its creation was to explore ways to improve city/county communications, coordination and problem resolution. As outlined in the agreement establishing the Solano CCCC, the group was to meet on a regular basis before or after the monthly meeting of the Solano County Water Agency.

The purpose of the Solano CCCC was identified as follows "to discuss, coordinate, and resolve City/County issues including but not necessarily limited to land use planning, duplication of services/improving efficiencies and responding effectively to the actions of other levels of government, including the State and Federal government."

Discussion:

On March 1, 2005, the designated staff met to discuss the Solano City County Coordinating Council (CCCC) and options for its reorganization. The topics discussed included the following:

- 1. Confirmation of Purpose
- 2. Governance/Selection and Rotation of Board Chair
- 3. Meeting schedule
- 4. Process for agendizing topics
- 5. Participation by affected agencies
- 6. Coordination with other agencies
- 7. Staff coordination, support, and follow up
- 8. Development of work plan

1. CONFIRMATION OF PURPOSE

The purpose identified in 1991 for the creation of the City County Coordinating Council was "to discuss, coordinate, and resolve City/County issues including but not necessarily limited to land use planning, duplication of services/improving efficiencies and responding effectively to the actions of other levels of government, including the State and Federal government."

2. GOVERNANCE/SELECTION AND ROTATION OF BOARD CHAIR

The current governance of the Solano CCCC consists of the five members of the Solano County Board of Supervisors and the Mayors of the seven cities. There are a wide range of governance options, but the current twelve-member governance structure of the Solano CCCC ensures countywide representation and representation from all eight local governments. If there is an interest expressed by the Joint Steering Committee or the Solano CCCC, staff can prepare a list of governance options.

The Chair and Vice-Chair for the Solano CCCC is currently rotated on an annual basis between the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor's Conference, however the selection process was not clearly outlined. Staff recommends that the rotation between the two partners continue, but that further clarification on the appointment process is established. Staff further recommends that the appointment process for the chair or vice chair need not be the current chair of the Mayor's Conference or the Board of Supervisors, but rather should be appointed by the respective body whose turn it is to chair or vice chair the CCCC's for the year. (i.e. if Mayor's Conference turn to chair, the Mayor's Conference will appoint the chair of the CCCC for the year, and if the Board's turn to chair, then the Board will designate the Chair of the CCCC for the year.) Appointment of the vice chair for the year would follow the same process. (If Mayor's Conference turn as vice chair, then the Board will designate the vice chair for the year, and if the Board's turn as vice chair, then the Board will designate the vice chair for the year).

the Mayor's Conference will appoint the vice chair for the year, and if the Board's turn as vice chair, then the Board will designate the vice chair for the year).

Recommendation: Recommend the following:

- 1. Maintain Solano CCCC's current governance structure of the five members of the Solano County Board of Supervisors and the Mayors of the cities of Benicia, Dixon, Fairfield, Rio Vista, Suisun City, Vacaville and Vallejo.
- 2. Rotate the Chair and Vice-Chair between the Board of Supervisors and Mayor's Conference on an annual basis.
- 3. Appointment of the chair and vice chair will be determined by the respective body whose turn it is to serve as chair or vice chair for the CCCC's for the year. (I.e. if Mayor's Conference turn to chair, the Mayor's Conference will appoint the chair for the year, and if the Board's turn to chair, then the Board will designate the Chair for the year. The same appointment process will apply to the designation of the Vice Chair for the year).

3. MEETING SCHEDULE

The current Solano CCCC agreement stipulates meeting on a monthly basis before or after the Solano County Water Agency (SCWA) meetings. Initially the CCCC meet monthly, then the members opted to meet quarterly and in recent years meetings of Solano CCCC have been as needed and called for by either the Board of the Mayor's conference. In addition, several CCCC members have expressed frustration with the limited time available to meet either before or after the SCWA meetings. An additional concern discussed was the number of regional, countywide, and local meetings that CCCC Board members must already attend (i.e., weekly or bi-weekly city council meetings, STA, SCWA, LAFCO, BAAQMD, YSAQMD, ABAG, MTC, etc). recommends establishing a quarterly meeting schedule rather that the current monthly meeting schedule or as needed convening, and to hold the meetings on the same meeting night of SCWA. The results will be that two out of every three months the SCWA Board will be able to meet without a competing Solano CCCC meeting. The month for regularly scheduled Solano CCCC meetings will be scheduled at start time of 6 pm or 7 pm with adequate time to conduct the meetings. It is proposed that the SCWA Board consider modifying its meeting schedule for the four quarterly months of scheduled Solano CCCC meetings by either not meeting or handling consent items as the earlier meeting (6 pm to 7 pm or earlier) and then the CCCC would convene second allowing for a longer meeting. The actual 4 quarterly meeting will need to be set in coordination with SCWA's required meeting needs and should be set for the year or perhaps two years to allow for planning and scheduling for required business. A proposed meeting schedule for Solano CCCC (in coordination with SCWA) is as follows (proposed 6 pm start time):

April 14, 2005 July 14, 2005 October 13, 2005

January 12, 2006 April 13, 2006 July 13, 2006 October 12, 2006 This would increase the workload on staff coordination but would decrease potential conflicts with meetings of SCWA. Without increasing or dedicating additional staff resources and establishing an alternate meeting time, it is not recommended at this time that the Solano CCCC return to monthly meetings, but instead empower the CCCC Joint Steering Committee to convene extra or special meetings as needed. It is further recommended that the CCCC host a General Assembly once every year or two years. The General Assembly would be for the full Board of Supervisors, Mayor's Conference and the city council members of each city.

Recommendation: Recommend the following:

- 1. Convene regular meetings of the Solano CCCC on a quarterly basis during the second Thursday evening at 6 pm in lieu of a SCWA meeting or at 7 pm after a brief SCWA Board meeting.
- 2. Request the SCWA Board consider accommodating the revised schedule for the quarterly meetings of the Solano CCCC.
- 3. Annually adopt a CCCC meeting schedule and no less then biannually convene a Genera Assembly.

4. PROCESS FOR AGENDIZING TOPICS FOR CCCC MEETINGS

One of the outstanding issues has been how and who determines which topics are agendized for discussion and consideration by the Solano CCCC. The existing process was not well defined, commonly known, or agreed upon by members of the Solano CCCC members or the staff from the supporting agencies. Staff recommends that at a future CCCC meeting, after the Solano CCCC has been reorganized and there is agreement on its process and structure, a specific work plan be developed and adopted to the upcoming year and that a work plan be prepared by the Joint Steering committee and presented at a CCCC meeting annually for confirmation. In the meantime, staff recommends that agenda topics be requested/submitted by the following:

- Solano CCCC Member agencies
- Members of the Solano CCCC Board
- Other countywide, regional, state or federal agencies
- Other interest groups

Staff recommends that the CCCC partners: the Board of Supervisors and the Major's conference, jointly establish a Joint Steering Committee for the CCCC's. The Joint Steering Committee would consist of two members of the Mayor's Conference designated by the Mayor's Conference and two member of the Board of Supervisors designated by the Board of Supervisors. The function of the Joint Steering Committee would include developing the draft work plan for the CCCC, the setting of the meeting agendas, confirming presentations and speakers, and working with staff of respective agencies invited or requested to attend or present to the CCCC. While a number of combinations for the membership of the Joint Steering Committee are possible, staff recommends that Joint Steering Committee consist of the Chair of the Mayor's Conference, the Chair of the Board of Supervisors, and the designated Chair and Vice Chair of the CCCC. The Joint Steering Committee should operate on a consensus basis when developing the proposed work plan and in the preparation of the agenda items.

Recommendation: Designate a Joint Steering Committee of the Solano CCCC Board review and approve agenda topics and the draft agenda for consideration by the Solano CCCC Board.

5, 6 & 7 PARTICIPATION BY AFFECTED AGENCIES/COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES/STAFF COORDINATION, SUPPORT AND FOLLOW UP

Currently, the Solano CCCC is comprised of the County of Solano and the cities of Benicia, Dixon, Fairfield, Rio Vista, Suisun City, Vacaville, and Vallejo. Prior to the formation of Solano CCCC, two specific agencies were formed to address countywide regional issues: the Solano County Water Agency (SCWA) and the Solano Transportation Authority (STA). These agencies meet on a monthly basis and are responsible for specific areas of responsibility in coordination with the eight local agencies. In addition, the Solano Local Agency Formation Commission with representatives for the cities and the County is a statutorily created regional body who also addresses countywide matters. To ensure continued and effective coordination on regional matters, it is recommended that an executive management committee be formed to support the efforts and future work plan of the Solano CCCC. The recommended membership is as follows:

- City Managers from Benicia, Dixon, Fairfield, Rio Vista, Suisun City, Vacaville and Vallejo
- County of Solano CAO
- STA Executive Director
- SCWA General Manager
- LAFCo Executive Officer

A prime task for the Executive Committee would be to coordinate with designated agency representatives and he CCCC support staff on the development of staff recommendations and reports for Solano CCCC meetings. It is recommended that coordination of the agenda, scheduling of meetings, and coordination with other agencies on behalf of the Solano CCCC be the responsibility of the County of Solano through the County's Director of Resource Management or designee. To provide adequate staff support and technical review, and to not overburden County staff, it is recommended that a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) be formed consisting of the Planning Directors for the seven cities, the County of Solano's Director for Resource Management, and the Planning Directors for STA, SCWA, LAFCo Executive Director and other affected agencies. Other invited participants could be representatives from ABAG, BAAQMD, YSAQMD, ALUC, or representatives from special districts.

Solano CCCC's Board of Directors

A

Joint Steering Committee

Executive Committee

Technical Advisory Committee

It should be noted that the proposed model for providing assistance to the Solano CCCC with both an Executive Committee and TAC has been successfully implemented in other counties (Napa, Orange, and Riverside are examples).

Recommendation:

Recommend the following:

- 1. Establish the Solano CCCC's Executive Committee comprised of the executive management staff from the County of Solano, seven cities, STA and SCWA, LAFCO Executive Officer.
- 2. Establish the Solano CCCC's TAC comprised of Planning Directors from the seven cities, STA, SCWA, LAFCo, and other department directors, agency heads or special district managers as needed/or required by the work plan or agenda subjects, and the County of Solano's Director of Resource Management.
- 3. Designate the County of Solano Department of Resource Management Director or designee as the designated support staff responsible for coordination of the agenda, scheduling of meetings, and coordination with other agencies.

8. DEVELOPMENT OF WORK PLAN

Members of the Solano County Board of Supervisors and Solano Mayor's Conference have discussed a number of significant and complex countywide issues in recent months. In addition, the Solano City Managers Group and County CAO have discussed a number of countywide and/or multi-jurisdictional services issues. Prior to initiating a discussion of one or more of these potentially worthwhile topics, it is recommended that initial focus be on reorganizing and establishing the Solano CCCC. At the next CCCC meeting, proposed for April, it is recommended that the eight subject areas outlined in this memo constitute the topics and agenda for the meeting. The next CCCC meeting should be dedicated to discussing, agreeing, and successfully implementing the structure and process for operation of the CCCC and the agendizing of discussion topics. Once there is consensus on the topics discussed in this memo it is recommended that the focus shift to development of a Solano CCCC work plan with a list of specific topics and areas of responsibility for the Solano CCCC Board to discuss, consider and adopt. It is recommended that this task be deferred after the organizational tasks are discussed and agreed upon by the CCCC.

Recommendation:

At the next CCCC meeting discuss and confirm the desired structure and process for operation of the CCCC in the future. Schedule a subsequent CCCC meeting for discussion and development of Solano CCCC work plan and specific agenda topics for future meetings, but only after the CCCC approval of the reorganization of the Solano CCCC. Suggested date for work plan and topic discussion-July 14, 2005 CCCC meeting.

This set of recommendations is designed to assist the Solano County Board of Supervisors and Solano Mayor's Conference in their efforts to further enhance and reinvigorate the Solano City County Coordinating Council (CCCC) process. The CCCC was created to facilitate communication and collaboration between the Cities and the County and other agencies in the area on area wide issues of importance including but not limited to land use planning, duplication of services/improving efficiencies and responding effectively to the actions of other levels of government, including State and Federal. The CCCC has provided a forum for countywide discussion by key decision-makers on issues that affect Solano County collectively and individually and could and should continue to do so in the future. However, if the CCCC is to serve as the forum

some refinement of the structure and processes used to operate the CCCC were identified as desirable by the CCCC partners. Staff has reviewed the processes and concerns expressed, and discussed options and approaches with the Steering Committee. The results of this effort are reflected in the recommendations presented at this time by the staff and the Steering Committee members for the CCCC members to consider.

We look forward to discussing these recommendations with you.

Re:

Solano City County Coordinating Council Mike Johnson, CAO, County of Solano

Solano County City Managers

David Okita, General Manager, Solano County Water Agency

Shaun Pritchard, LAFCo Executive Officer

Solano City County Coordinating Council

History of City County Coordinating Council:

On June 18, 1991, the Solano County Board of Supervisors and the Mayors of Solano County's seven cities established the Solano City County Coordinating Council (CCCC). The primary reason provided for its creation was to explore ways to improve city/county communications, coordination and problem resolution. As outlined in the agreement establishing the Solano CCCC, the group was to meet on a regular basis before or after the monthly meeting of the Solano County Water Agency. On April 14, 2005 the City County Coordinating Council approved several changes to formalize the operation of the City County Coordinating Council as outlined below.

Purpose Statement:

"The purpose of the Solano City County Coordinating Council is to discuss, coordinate and resolve City/County issues, including but not necessarily limited to land use planning, duplication of services/improving efficiency, as well as other agreed to topics of regional importance, to respond effectively to the actions of other levels of government, including the State and Federal government, to sponsor or support legislation at the State and Federal level that is of regional importance, and to sponsor or support regional activities that further the purpose of the Solano City County Coordinating Council." (revised & adopted 1-10-08)

GOVERNANCE/SELECTION AND ROTATION OF BOARD CHAIR

There shall be a Chair and a Vice Chair of the City County Coordinating Council and they shall come each of the two member organizations. The Chair and Vice-Chair shall rotate on a bi annual basis between the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor's Conference. The Chair and Vice Chair shall serve two year terms. The appointment of the Chair or Vice Chair shall be determined by the respective organization whose turn it is to serve as Chair or Vice Chair the City county Coordinating Council. (I.e. if Mayor's Conference turn to chair, the Mayor's Conference will appoint the chair of the CCCC, and if the Board's turn to chair, then the Board will designate the Chair of the CCCC.) Appointment of the vice chair would follow the same process. (If Mayor's Conference turn as vice chair, the Mayor's Conference will appoint the vice chair for the year, and if the Board's turn as vice chair, then the Board will designate the vice chair for the year).

MEETING SCHEDULE

The City County Coordinating Council shall meet quarterly. Additional meetings may be scheduled if deemed necessary by the Joint Steering Committee. The City County Coordinating Council shall adopt a two year meeting schedule. The City County Coordinating Council has designated the months of February, May, August and November as their quarterly meeting months. The four quarterly meetings shall be scheduled in coordination with the Solano Count Water Agency Board meetings and will either be in lieu of the Solano County Water Agency

Board meetings or follow a shorter consent item SCWA meeting on the second Tuesday of the quarterly months adopted.

City County Coordinating Council should host a General Assembly once every year or two. The General Assembly would be for the full Board of Supervisors, Mayor's Conference and the city council members of each city.

PROCESS FOR AGENDIZING TOPICS FOR CCCC MEETINGS

A specific work plan for meeting agenda topics shall be developed and adopted for the upcoming year with tentative subjects for the second year The work plan shall be prepared by the Joint Steering committee and presented to the City County Coordinating Council at a meeting annually for confirmation. Agenda topics may be requested/submitted by the following:

- Mayor's Conference
- Board of Supervisors
- Individual Members of the Solano City County Coordinating Council Board
- Other countywide, regional, state or federal agencies
- Other interest groups

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

Solano City county Coordinating Council

Joint Steering Committee

Executive Committee

Technical Advisory Committee

Joint Steering Committee

There shall be a Joint Steering Committee for the City county Coordinating Council. The Joint Steering Committee will consist of two members of the Mayor's Conference designated by the Mayor's Conference and two member of the Board of Supervisors designated by the Board of Supervisors. The function of the Joint Steering Committee would include developing the draft work plan for the City county Coordinating council, the setting of the meeting agendas, confirming presentations and speakers, and working with staff of respective agencies invited or requested to attend or make presentations at a City County Coordinating Council Meeting. The Joint Steering Committee should consist of the Chair of the Mayor's Conference, the Chair of the Board of Supervisors, and the designated Chair and Vice Chair of the CCCC. The Joint Steering Committee should operate on a consensus basis when developing the proposed work plan and in the preparation of the agenda items.

Executive Steering Committee

There shall be an Executive Management Committee ensure continued and effective coordination on regional matters. The Executive Management Committee will support the efforts and future work plan of the Solano City county Coordinating Council. The membership is as follows:

- City Managers from Benicia, Dixon, Fairfield, Rio Vista, Suisun City, Vacaville and Vallejo
- County of Solano CAO
- STA Executive Director
- SCWA General Manager
- LAFCo Executive Officer

A prime task for the Executive Committee would be to coordinate with designated agency representatives and the City County Coordinating Council support staff on the development of staff recommendations and reports for Solano CCCC meetings.

Technical Advisory Committee

To provide adequate staff support and technical review, and to not overburden County staff, the will be a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) consisting of the Planning Directors for the seven cities, the County of Solano's Director for Resource Management, and the Planning Directors for STA, SCWA, LAFCo Executive Director and other affected agencies. Other invited participants could be representatives from ABAG, BAAQMD, YSAQMD, ALUC, or representatives from special districts.

City County Coordinating Council Support Staff

The coordination of the agenda, scheduling of meetings, and coordination with other agencies on behalf of the Solano CCCC be the responsibility of the County of Solano through the County's Director of Resource Management or designee.

MEMBERS Attachment 4

Elizabeth Patterson

Chair

Mayor, City of Benicia

John Vasquez Vice Chair Supervisor, Solano

County, District 4

Mayor, City of Dixon

Thom Bogue

Harry Price
Mayor, City of Fairfield

Ronald Kott

Mayor, City of Rio Vista

Pete Sanchez

Mayor, City of Suisun

City

Len Augustine
Mayor, City of Vacaville

Bob Sampayan Mayor, City of Vallejo

Erin Hannigan Supervisor, Solano County, District 1

Monica Brown Supervisor, Solano County, District 2

Jim Spering Supervisor, Solano County, District 3

Skip Thomson Supervisor, Solano County, District 5

SUPPORT STAFF:

Birgitta Corsello Solano County Administrator's Office

Michelle Heppner Solano County Administrator's Office

Daryl Halls Solano Transportation Authority

Jim Lindley City of Dixon

SOLANO City-County Coordinating Council

Proposed 2018 Meeting Schedule

Meeting Location & time (unless otherwise scheduled):

Solano County Water Agency 810 Vaca Valley Parkway, Suite 203 Vacaville, CA 95688

Starting at 6:45 p.m.

(or immediately following the Solano County Water Agency Meeting)

2018 Meeting Dates

January 11, 2018 Regular Meeting

March 8, 2018 Regular Meeting

May 10, 2018 Regular Meeting

August 9, 2018 Regular Meeting / Workshop

November 8, 2018 Regular Meeting

Per the current CCCC Bylaws, the CCCC is required to meet quarterly in the months of February, May, August, and November.

SOLANO City-County Coordinating Council Proposed 2018 Work Plan

January 11, 2018

Proposed meeting topics:

- Legislative Update and Report on the Governor's January State Budget Plan (If available)
- Review and Adoption of 2018 CCCC State and Federal Legislative Platform
- Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) Final Approval
- Annual Update on Air Quality (BAAQMD and YSAQMD)
- Sea Level Rise BCDC, Delta Protection Commission, and Central Valley Flood
- Flood Safe Program (City Planners)

Include informational items on agenda:

Updated 2018 CCCC Member Roster

March 8, 2018

Proposed meeting topics:

- Travis Sustainable Study
- Plan Bay Area Update (Bob Macaulay, STA)
- Update on Affordable Care Act (Cadillac Tax) (H&SS)
- Foster Care Implementation (H&SS)

May 10, 2018

Proposed meeting topics:

- Report on Governor's May State Budget Revisions and Local and State Ballot Measures
- Update on Regional Approach to Addressing Homelessness (CAP Solano members & Staff, Homebase & H&SS)

August 9, 2018

Proposed meeting topics:

• Workshop on Economic Diversity / Gap in Education for Future Employers

November 8, 2018

Proposed meeting topics:

- Kick-off for developing the 2019 Legislative Platform
- Review and Adoption of 2019 CCCC Meeting Schedule and Work Plan

Future Suggested Meeting Topics

Proposed meeting topics:

- Transit of HazMat and Fossil Fuels
- Regional Park Initiative
- Priority Development Areas

- Priority Conservation Areas
- Travis AFB Collaboration
 - o TCC
 - KC10 Retirement / New mission
 - Military Budget
 - o P4 Community Partnerships / OEA Grant Funding
- CalCOG Transportation and Housing (Guest Speaker)
- School siting Safe Routes to Schools
- Delta Update DCC and BDCP (SCWA vs. CCCC)
- Local Affordable Care Act Implementation

SOLANO City-County Coordinating Council Staff Report

Meeting of: January 11, 2018

Agency/Staff: Michelle Heppner, Legislative, Intergovernmental, & Public Affairs Officer, Solano County

Agenda Item No.: VI.3

<u>Title/Subject:</u> Provide Input and/or Adopt the City-County Coordinating Council's Proposed 2018 State and Federal Legislative Platform

Background/Discussion:

The Solano City County Coordinating Council (CCCC) began adopting annual State and Federal legislative platforms in 2006 and has continued this practice.

The CCCC legislative platforms represent a compilation of shared concepts and priorities created with input from Solano cities, the County, the Solano Transportation Authority, and the Travis Community Consortium with the goal of capturing all of the significant regional priorities, as well as the priorities established by the League of Cities and the California State Association of Counties.

Staff reached out to the City Manager's Group, the County's departments, and Paul Yoder, Solano County's State Legislative Advocate. No changes were received. Staff is requesting input from the CCCC and approval of the 2018 State and Federal Legislative Platform if no changes are requested.

While the Proposed 2018 State and Federal Legislative Platform seeks to accurately reflect the current challenges and threats imposed by both the state and federal governments, should unanticipated issues arise, additional modifications may be required in future.

Recommendation:

Provide input and approve the CCCC's Proposed 2018 State and Federal Legislative Platform.

Attachments:

1 - Proposed CCCC 2018 State and Federal Legislative Platform (Redline)

Overview

The Solano City-County Coordinating Council (CCCC) consists of the Mayors of all seven cities in Solano County – Benicia, Dixon, Fairfield, Rio Vista, Suisun, Vacaville and Vallejo - and the five members of the County Board of Supervisors. On an annual basis, the CCCC adopts a legislative platform; recommending positions and strategies on both state and federal legislative and budget related issues. The platform takes into consideration and seeks to support the legislative priorities of all seven cities, the County of Solano, Solano Transportation Agency (STA), Solano County Water Agency (SCWA), Travis Community Consortium (TCC), Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District and our public higher education institutions (Solano Community College, UC Davis and CSU Maritime Academy).

Listed below are the CCCC's highest State and Federal legislative priorities as well as other significant policy issues. These are issues that CCCC believes are important to support and partner on. These priorities are extracted from other regional entities and are not intended to conflict or compete, but rather support and complement efforts to improve funding of regional needs and priorities.

20172018 State and Federal Legislative Priorities (Listed Alphabetically)

- 1. **Funding for Key Water Infrastructure Projects.** Support efforts to authorize and fund key water infrastructure projects in Solano cities and the County, including dredging, water reuse and recycling, conjunctive and stormwater use and flood management projects.
- 2. Protect the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Ensure that Solano cities and the county is adequately represented in efforts to develop policy impacting the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, including policies to address water quality and supply, flood protection, environmental preservation and emergency response. Support legislation that protects Solano County water sources and supplies and provides for mitigation with regard to disaster preparedness, water rights, North Delta Water Agency Contract with the California Department of Water Resources, socio-economic vitality, water quality, water elevations, levee protection, loss of agricultural production, aquaculture, and access to fresh water supplies. Support efforts to develop other water supply options outside the areas-of-origin so as to reduce stresses in the Delta region. In general, support legislation that would provide for assurances and mitigations to the County, local Districts, and our residents and ensure sustainable funding outside of the General Fund for existing and future obligations created by State / Federal water projects and their Habitat Conservation Plans. Support appropriations from Proposition 1 that will facilitate key water infrastructure projects.
- 3. Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness. Support funding for programs that assist Solano cities and the county with efforts aimed at reducing crime and enhancing public safety through community partnerships and multi-jurisdictional efforts, such as the Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) program and the Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program. In addition, support funding for programs that assist the County and cities with disaster response and preparedness and homeland security-related needs, including efforts aimed at achieving communications interoperability. Monitor legislation and state budget actions regarding the implementation of Proposition 47 to ensure that proper resources exist at the local level. Also monitor the implementation of state legislation such as AB 403 (Stone), which will significantly revamp placement options for foster youth.
- 4. **State Realignment & Cost-Shifts**. Oppose proposals to restructure, realign, or otherwise shift the cost of state programs to local government, without commensurate compensation and a legislative ability for counties to draw down available federal funding. Support efforts

to constitutionally guarantee continued funding for realigned programs. Support efforts to obtain and improve the stability of current Solano cities and the county's revenue sources. Oppose any realignment initiatives which fail to fully fund services shifted to the County and cities. Advocate for funding for local police agencies and the Sheriff's Office dealing with the increase in specific crimes in Solano cities and the county due to realignment.

20172018 State and Federal Legislative Principles (Sections Listed Alphabetically)

Agriculture, Natural Resources, and Water

- 1. Support efforts to protect the Suisun Marsh consistent with the Suisun Marsh Preservation Act and the Suisun Marsh Plan;
- 2. Support improved mapping of flood hazard areas and advocate for the US Army Corps of Engineers and other federal and state agencies to protect Solano cities and the county from these hazards, including hazard mitigation and adaptation planning, either directly or via funding and technical assistance.
- Support, develop, or seek out legislation that protects the Solano cities and the county's quality of life, its diverse natural resources, and preserves the essence and history of Solano.
- 4. Support legislation to establish the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta National Heritage Area to protect and promote the economic vitality and cultural, historical, and natural assets of the region.
- 5. Support protections and assurances to assure a reliable supply and access to high quality water for drinking, agriculture and recreation in the County.
- 6. Support funding for an alternate intake to the North Bay Aqueduct; monitor and advocate for the appropriate and timely allocation of resources from Proposition 1.
- 7. Support legislative or regulatory efforts to maintain local control/involvement in allocation of water resources.
- 8. Support new funding to support local priorities for implementing water storage, recycling, storm water capture and other integrated water management strategies, and conservation measures.
- 9. Support funding for efforts to mitigate or adapt to sea-level rise impacts, including shoreline restoration, retreat, flood mitigation, and recreation projects.

General Government

- Support efforts to realign government services with necessary funding in order to improve the delivery of services and make government more accountable and efficient to the people they serve.
- 2. Seek out, develop, and support legislative, regulatory, and budget efforts that protect and/or enhance local governments' revenues, maximize Solano cities and the county's access to Federal funding sources, and/or increases local funding flexibility.
- 3. Support legislation that provides tax and funding formulas and regulations for the equitable distribution of Federal monies while opposing attempts to decrease, restrict, or eliminate Solano cities special districts and the county's revenue sources.
- 4. Support any expansion, continuation, and/or increased flexibility in the bidding/ procurement, delivery, and management of construction projects.
- 5. Oppose legislative or administrative actions that would create State or Federal unfunded mandates and/or preempt local decision-making authority.
- 6. Oppose attempts to restrict local authority with respect to issues that affect local communities.
- **7.** Oppose any effort to balance the state budget through the taking of local government resources.
- 8. Support the enactment of legislation to allocate statewide bond funding based on objective criteria developed with local input.

9. Support budgetary efforts for outstanding Payment-in-Lieu-of-Taxes (PILT) funding that is owed to the County and support legislative and budgetary efforts to continue PILT funding based on the allocation provided in the 2015-16 State Budget.

Housing, Community and Economic Development, and Workforce Investment

- 1. Support additional flexibility for Proposition 63 that could provide a one-time statewide infusion of funding for supportive housing in California.
- 2. Support Housing Element reform that provides for self-certification process for all jurisdictions that have a housing allocation, and that provides greater flexibility to agencies with limited urban services and strong city centered development policies.
- 3. Support continued funding for existing programs including the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program, the HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), and the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). Oppose efforts to reduce funding and operational flexibility for these programs.
- 4. Encourage and seek legislation to facilitate orderly economic expansion and growth, and increase the opportunity for discretionary revenues, programmatic and financial flexibility for Solano cities and the county.
- 5. Support funding and incentives for smart growth and sustainable development, including affordable housing and infrastructure funding.
- 6. Oppose Federal legislation that would reduce U.S. Economic Development Administration (EDA) funds and support the expanded eligibility and access to these funds.
- 7. Support legislation that encourages job growth and the success of the business community.
- 8. Support legislation that provides a stable national-level appropriation for workforce development programs as a longer-term investment strategy for the nation's economy. Support or seek federal grant funding opportunities that advance and improve housing, community and economic development, and workforce investment opportunities for disadvantages individuals and families including the homeless.
- 9. Support and/or advocate for funding programs that would provide funding for community youth and senior programs, including programs targeting underserved youth and seniors.
- 10. Oppose Federal legislation that would reduce funding to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that provides rent subsidies and administrative funding to the Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8) Programs.
- 11. Support State legislation that would create a new funding mechanism for local governments to provide funding for affordable housing (new construction, acquisition and rehabilitation).
- 12. Support State legislation that would create funding for local governments for economic development purposes.
- 13. Support efforts to increase employment opportunities and linking training programs to local available employment.

Public Safety and Emergency Disaster Preparedness

- 1. Support the preservation of funding levels for existing public safety programs such as the Byrne Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) Program, California State Law Enforcement Funding (SLEF), California Fire Fighter Joint Apprenticeship Committee (CFFJAC), Office of Traffic Safety (OTS) grant funding, Department of Alcohol and Beverage Control programs, and the Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) program. Oppose efforts to reduce or divert funding away from these programs.
- 2. Support continued or new funding for emergency disaster preparedness programs such as FEMA Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG), the Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI), and emergency disaster preparedness and infrastructure damage recovery programs. Oppose efforts to reduce or divert funding away from these programs.
- 3. Support funding for the State Office of Emergency Services to enhance Disaster Preparedness by linking local Emergency Operations Centers and by providing training.

- 4. Support funding to integrate climate change and sea level rise impacts into Local Hazard Mitigation Plans and Emergency Operation Plans. Support funding for regional hazard mitigation planning.
- 5. Support funding to address emergency preparedness needs, particularly those that include communications equipment, training/exercises, or ongoing operations and maintenance costs.
- 6. Support the preservation of funding for the State's Police Officer Standards and Training program that reimburses local agencies for training.
- 7. Support changes to US Corps of Engineer's current flood control inspection standards that have resulted in the loss of Public Law 84-99 eligibility for post disaster restoration funding for local governments.
- 8. Support legislation that improves the availability, affordability and coverage for earthquake and flood insurance. Support legislation to improve the affordability of fire coverage in California's more forested areas.
- 9. Support efforts to improve safety of hazardous materials transported by rail, including crude by rail and enhance capacity of local emergency responders to appropriately respond to potential emergency events resulting from derailment or releases and provide catastrophic recovery funds for business, governments and residents.

Resource Management, Environmental Health, and Sustainability

- 1. Support measures and funding for County, city, and special district programs and projects that address sustainability issues such as air quality improvement, energy efficiency, water use efficiency, renewable energy, fuel efficiency, energy adequacy, and security while balancing the reduction of emissions with impacts on business.
- 2. Support legislation and administrative action that further the goals of the Solano cities and the county's climate protection and sustainability efforts, including programs that promote energy-efficient home improvements like the Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) program and as referenced in their approved Climate Action Plans.
- 3. Support Federal and state climate change legislation and policies that include local government funding and consideration for implementation at the local level.
- 4. Support legislation and grant funding opportunities that improve land use planning for major economic drivers and infrastructure projects in Solano cities and the county.
- 5. Support funding for community planning and public participation at the neighborhood level for infill housing.
- 6. Support sensible CEQA reform that streamlines processes for broader range of infill development while maintaining strong analytic and mitigation requirements for large projects that clearly have significant environmental consequences at a regional or statewide level.
- 7. Support regulatory processes that are not a one-size-fits-all approach and maintain flexibility for Solano cities, special districts, and the County to determine the best means of achieving water conservation mandates.
- 8. Support legislation that fosters, establishes or expands regional purchasing capabilities and inter-jurisdictional infrastructure development to achieve local environmental and sustainability goals/requirements.
- 9. Support legislation that enhances funding options for sustaining and expanding a countywide parks system.
- 10. Support efforts to direct Cap and Trade revenues to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in communities disproportionality impacted by large sources of industrial pollution. Support the more timely and regular allocation of Cap and Trade funds.
- 11. Support efforts to cap future emissions from large sources of industrial pollution rather than allow offsets out of the local area.
- 12. Support restored State Parks funding and legislation that facilitates implementation of the recommendations of the Parks Forward Commission and the State Parks Transformation Team.

Transportation

- 1. Support efforts to reduce requirements and restrictions on the use of street maintenance funding by local agencies.
- 2. Support efforts to maintain existing or increased funding for transportation programs and projects within the County.
- 3. Support legislative efforts for Federal transportation reauthorization measures that reflect the needs of Solano cities and the county and project priority in funding streams.
- 4. Support consideration of an increase or the indexing of the Federal gasoline tax and alternative sources of funding for pavement maintenance.
- 5. Support legislation and budget action that provides additional and continuing funding for local infrastructure, including local roads, bridges, and transit priorities.
- 6. Ensure that existing transportation funding sources are retained.
- 7. Seek to reverse the current diversion of the Off Highway Vehicle funding so that it returns to local source.
- 8. Continue to seek funding from Cap and Trade for enhancements to the county's transportation network that reduce greenhouse gas emissions including regionally integrated transit, active transportation, congestion relief, trade corridor improvements, and clean vehicle deployment consistent with the region's sustainable communities strategy Plan Bay Area.
- 9. Support or sponsor legislation that provides for the establishment, extension, or increase of a special tax for the purpose of providing funding for local transportation projects, including pavement maintenance, and lowers the threshold for voter approval to 55%.
- Support legislation and administrative rule making that improves rail and rail car safety, including positive train control (PTC) technologies, for transport of hazardous material including crude oil.
- 11. Seek funding and maximize opportunities to develop, support, and maintain a robust active regional transportation strategy, with particular attention to transportation and health equity issues.
- State (Caltrans) Aeronautics Funding Encourage the replenishment of State funding of the Aeronautics Program for Capital Improvement Grant Funding through the California Transportation Commission (CTC); and restore State Aeronautics funding reliability for future project planning.

Other Agency Interests

- 1. Travis Community Consortium. Support the mission of all military organizations located within the County. Support the 2014-2018 strategy adopted by the Travis Community Consortium. Work with the Governor's Military Council to protect California's interest with the decline in defense spending and the probable realignment of missions and closure of bases. Support Travis AFB moving forward in 2017/2018 with the Air Force Community Partnership (AFCP). Support additional assets/missions such as C-17, KC-46 squadrons, modernization of existing aircraft, and gaining other aviation and non-aviation missions.
- 2. Solano Transportation Authority. Support the 20172018 legislative state priorities and programs as outlined and adopted by the Solano Transportation Authority.
- 3. League of California Cities (League). Support the 20172018 League Strategic Goals as adopted by the League.